Attorney's Docket No.: 100110275-1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Ramin Samadani Art Unit: 2624

Serial No.: 10/620,937 Examiner: Perungavoor, Sathyanaraya V

Filed: July 16, 2003 Confirmation No.: 8859

Title : High Resolution Image Reconstruction

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## SUPPLEMENTAL INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

This Supplemental Interview Summary Record is being filed in order to clarify the description of the telephonic interview of July 7, 2008 (hereinafter "the telephonic interview"), that is contained in the Interview Summary Record filed on September 5, 2008, by Examiner Matthew Bella.

The Interview Summary Record of September 5, 2008, states that "Examiner agreed to withdraw the 112 rejections in view of applicant's arguments that the claimed subject matter is only figure 12." This statement, however, does not capture the substance of the telephonic interview. In particular, during the telephonic interview, Mr. Garcia (undersigned) explained that the subject matter of claim 2 is enabled by the application disclosure and is not indefinite for the following reasons:

- FIG. 12 shows that the reconstructed high resolution image ( $A_1$ ) of said low resolution image frame ( $a_1$ ) is related to low spatial frequency component ( $A_{1L}$ ) of said low resolution image frame ( $a_1$ ) and the weighted remapped residual high spatial frequency component ( $\hat{A}_{1H}$ ) of said low resolution image frame ( $a_1$ ) as follows:  $\hat{A}_1 = A_{1L} + \hat{A}_{1H}$  [equation 1]
- FIG. 11 shows that the weighted remapped residual high spatial frequency component ( $\hat{A}_{IH}$ ) of said low resolution image frame ( $a_1$ ) is related to the motion-compensated high spatial frequency component estimate  $\hat{A}'_{IH}$  and the weights  $\alpha$  as follows:  $\hat{A}_{IH} = \alpha \cdot \hat{A}'_{IH}$  [equation 2]

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office via electronic filing on the date shown below.

Sep. 23, 2008

Date of Transmission

/Edouard Garcia, Reg. No. 38,461/

(Signature of person mailing papers)

Edouard Garcia

(Typed or printed name of person mailing papers)

Applicant: Ramin Samadani Attorney's Docket No.: 100110275-1 Serial No.: 10/620,937 Interview Summary Record dated Sep. 23, 2008

Filed : July 16, 2003 Page : .2 of 2

• Substituting equation 2 into equation 1 gives:  $\hat{A}_1 = A_{1L} + \alpha \cdot \hat{A}'_{1H}$ 

• Paragraph 18 explains that: "... The weights  $\alpha$  basically control how much of the remapped residual high spatial frequency component  $\hat{A}'_{III}$  is added to the low spatial frequency component  $A_{IL}$  to form the final reconstructed high spatial resolution image estimate  $\hat{A}_1$ ..."

- Thus, the weights  $\alpha$  control the relative contributions of  $A_{1L}$  and  $\hat{A}'_{1L}$  to  $\hat{A}_1$ .
- FIG. 10, the description of FIG. 10 on page 3, and ¶¶ 17, 18 disclose that the weight function generator 46 generates the values of  $\alpha$ , which represent the per-pixel motion confidence weights.
- Thus, through its control over the generation of the weights α, the weight function generator 46 "controls relative contributions of said motion-compensated high spatial frequency component estimate of said low resolution image frame and said generated low spatial frequency component of said low resolution image frame in the reconstructed high resolution image of said low resolution image frame based on measures of confidence in motion estimates used to map said high spatial frequency component to the motion-compensated high spatial frequency component estimate of said low resolution image frame." as recited in claim 2

Based on this explanation, Mr. Bella agreed to withdraw the nonenablement and indefiniteness rejections of claim 2 and 11.

Thus, based on the explanation provided above, the claimed subject matter is not limited to FIG. 12, but rather FIG. 12 provides an example of support showing that the claimed subject matter meets the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Charge any excess fees or apply any credits to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Sep. 23, 2008 /Edouard Garcia, Reg. No. 38,461/

Edouard Garcia Reg. No. 38,461

Telephone No.: (650) 965-8342

Please direct all correspondence to: Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration Legal Department, M/S 35 P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599